

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,679 03/09/2004		Helmuth Gabl	ANDPAT/182/US	2627	
2543	7590	06/29/2006		EXAMINER	
ALIX YALE & RISTAS LLP				LITHGOW, THOMAS M	
750 MAIN	STREET				
SUITE 1400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HARTFORD, CT 06103				1724	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

v	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/796,679	GABL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas M. Lithgow	1724			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	secution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	·				
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) none is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 09 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	PR 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	MAS M. LITHGOG MARY EXAMINER GROUP 17:00 (PTO-413)			

Application/Control Number: 10/796,679

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cooper (US 3551897). Copper '897 discloses a flotation process in which device 34 measures the thickness of the froth, overflow outlet near 21 defines the pulp level and is controlled thereat. The tailings (accept) concentration is measured at 37 and the froth, among other things, is controlled via means 44 [Table bridging col. 3 and 4 at the bottom].
- 3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by CA 1015869. In CA 1015869 there is a tail (accept) sensor 28 and the computer 30 controls the pulp level in the cell d.
- 4. Claims 1-2 and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 4429277. DE '277 discloses a deinking flotation cell 10 which includes measuring the froth and pulp levels, measuring a quality of

Application/Control Number: 10/796,679 Page 3

Art Unit: 1724

the accept fraction at 28 and controlling, among other things, the froth and the pulp level in response to the accept measurements.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 3-5 and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE '277 as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ortner (US 5062964). The use of plural flotation cells in deinking is the industry norm and to include a set of cells along with the single deinker cell illustrated by DE '277 would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Lithgow whose telephone number is 571-272-1162. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. -Fri..

Art Unit: 1724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas M. Lithgow Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724